## EXHIBIT B

(Feb. 18, 2011 Hearing Transcript Excerpt)

1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2	In re:  Case No. 10-15973-scc  New York, New York
4	Debtor. 10:08 a.m.
5	TRANSCRIPT OF CHAP 11 HEARING RE
6	DOC #149 MOTION TO EXTEND TIME/DEBTOR'S MOTION PURSUANT TO SECTION 365(D)(4) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE FOR AN ORDER
7	EXTENDING THE TIME WITHIN WHICH THE DEBTOR MUST ASSUME OR REJECT UNEXPIRED LEASES OF NONRESIDENTIAL REAL PROPERTY;
8	DOC #150 APPLICATION TO EMPLOY WACHTELL, LIPTON,
9	ROSEN & KATZ AS SPECIAL LITIGATION COUNSEL TO THE DEBTOR, NUNC PRO TUNC TO THE COMMENCEMENT DATE;
LO	DOC#151 MOTION TO EXTEND EXCLUSIVITY PERIOD FOR FILING A CHAPTER 11 PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT/DEBTOR'S MOTION
11	PURSUANT TO SECTION 1121(D) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE FOR AN ORDER EXTENDING ITS EXCLUSIVE PERIODS TO FILE A CHAPTER 11
L2	PLAN AND SOLICIT ACCEPTANCES THEREOF;
13	DOC# 164 OBJECTION/OBJECTION TO DEBTORS MOTION PURSUANT TO SECTION 1121(D) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE FOR AN ORDER
L4	EXTENDING ITS EXCLUSIVE PERIODS TO FILE A CHAPTER 11 PLAN AND SOLICIT ACCEPTANCES THEREOF (RELATED DOCUMENT 151);
15	DOC# 169 RESPONSE/DEBTORS REPLY TO COMMITTEES' OBJECTION TO DEBTOR'S MOTION PURSUANT TO SECTION 1121(D) OF THE
L6	BANKRUPTCY CODE FOR ORDER EXTENDING EXCLUSIVE PERIODS TO FILE CHAPTER 11 PLAN AND SOLICIT ACCEPTANCES
L7	THEREOF (RELATED DOCUMENT 164); ADVERSARY PROCEEDING: 10-04210-SCC AMBAC FINANCIAL
L8	GROUP, INC. V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA;
L9	DOC# 23 MOTION TO AUTHORIZE/DEBTORS MOTION FOR PRETRIAL CONFERENCE AND PURSUANT TO SECTION 105(A) OF THE
20	BANKRUPTCY CODE AND GENERAL ORDER M-390 FOR AUTHORIZATION TO IMPLEMENT ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROCEDURES ADVERSARY
21	PROCEEDING: 10-04210-SCC AMBAC FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA;
22	DOC# 29 MOTION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER/MOTION TO ENFORCE TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 105
23	AND 362(A) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE AND RULE 7065
	OF THE BANKRUPTCY RULES; ADVERSARY PROCEEDING: 10-04210-SCC AMBAC FINANCIAL
24	GROUP, INC. V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; DOC# 30 DECLARATION OF LAWRENCE M. HILL (RELATED DOCUMENT 29).
25	BEFORE THE HONORABLE SHELLEY C. CHAPMAN UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

testimony that even assuming there is no consensual deal, if the
Debtor nevertheless emerges by October 31, 2011, the Debtor
would still have approximately nineteen million dollars of cash
on hand.

Mr. Feuerabendt also pointed to various sources of additional liquidity that while not certain, may become available to the Debtor. Accordingly, the Debtor has demonstrated that it has ample liquidity to support the plan process for at least the next six months.

2.2

Courts have also held that creditor dissatisfaction does not constitute cause to terminate a Debtor's exclusive periods. The committee has made no secret of its dissatisfaction with the Debtor's desire to secure the support of certain major constituencies in this case; the committee, the IRS and OCI, before filing a plan. The committee seemingly ignores the Debtor's position that the committee's proposed plan term sheet which does not have the support of OCI or the IRS contains serious execution risk and could result in years of protracted litigation with OCI and the IRS.

The Court finds that the Debtor is neither seeking an extension of its exclusive periods, nor refusing to file the plan proposed by the committee's term sheet in order to pressure its creditors to accede to its reorganization demands. Instead, the Debtor has demonstrated that it is attempting to secure the support of major constituents before proceeding forward with a

1 plan of reorganization.

2.

2.2

Given that the Debtor has determined in the exercise of its business judgment that the term sheet proposed by the committee sets forth the terms of a plan which is unconformable, the Court notes that it would constitute a breach of the Debtor's fiduciary duty to pursue such a plan. As Chief Judge Gonzalez stated in <u>Chrysler</u>, "To suggest that the Debtors should have pursued proposals that could not have been consummated is to suggest that the Debtors should have breached their fiduciary duty." In Re: Chrysler, LLC 405 BR 84 at 105.

Moreover, the committee repeatedly suggests that the existence of disabling conflicts between the management of AAC and the Debtor warrants the appointment of a Chapter 11 trustee for the Debtor, although the committee has declined to seek the appointment of one, the Court finds that there is no basis in the record for it to include that disabling conflicts exist which mandate termination of the Debtor's exclusive periods.

Notwithstanding this finding, the Court also notes that the Debtor and AAC have taken measures as described in the Debtor's reply and in Mr. Wallace's testimony, to establish extra layers of protection to avoid even the perception of a possible conflict.

While the Court finds that cause exists to grant an extension of the Debtor's exclusive periods, I decline to grant the full 180 day extension requested by the Debtor. Instead,

the Court will extend the Debtor's exclusive periods by 120
days. The Court wishes to keep the parties motivated, to work
expeditiously on a resolution of all issues which will hopefully
lead to a consensual and viable plan of reorganization.

In connection with other relief granted by the Court at today's hearing, the Court also strongly urges the Debtor and the IRS to use this period to take advantage of mediation which may avoid costly and protracted litigation. Notwithstanding the rancor that was exhibited during today's hearing, the Court is confident that the parties will refrain from engaging in counterproductive litigation and attempt to work together to continue to explore every alternative available to maximize value for the benefit of the Debtor's estate.

As a procedural matter, you can submit an order, Mr.

Bienenstock and you can -- we can give you this script to attach or you can file the transcript. I don't have a strong view. I would note though that it is after hours here at the Court and I don't think we have the means to file an order tonight and Monday's a court holiday and the rest of next week is my holiday, so --

MR. BIENENSTOCK: There's no emergency because the period's don't --

THE COURT: Okay.

2.2

MR. BIENENSTOCK: So if it's okay with the Court, we'll submit it next week.